IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Civil Writ Petition No. 11 of 2005 (S/S)

Radha Krishan Bahuguna, S/o Sri Dashrath, Van Rakshak, Mukhem Ranj, Dhotari, District Uttarkashi.

....

Petitioner.

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal through Chief Conservator of Forests Uttaranchal Van Vibhag Dehradun.
2. Conservator of Forests Bhagirathi Virth Uttaranchal Muni-Ki-Rati, Tehri Garhwal.
3. Prabhagiya Vanadhikari Van Prabhag Uttarkashi.

....

Respondents.

Sri Vinod Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner.
Learned Standing counsel for respondents.

HON'BLE RAJESH TANDON. J.

20.02.2006

Heard Sri Vinod Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner and Standing counsel for the respondents.

By the present writ petition the petitioner has confined his prayer for disposal of his representation dated 10-10-2002.

Briefly stated, the petitioner was appointed as Vanya Jantu Rakshak in the year 1982. The grievance of the petitioner is that in accordance with the seniority list one Papendra Singh Rautela who is junior to the petitioner has been given promotion on the

post of Culture Zamadar whereas the petitioner has been denied promotion. He has made a representation on 10-2-2002 and the same has been decided without considering the grievance of the petitioner. Thereafter he has again made representation on 10-10-2002 for reconsideration of the earlier order. The same has not been disposed of.

Both the parties have submitted that the matter may be disposed of at the admission stage. The respondents, therefore, are directed to dispose of the representation dated 10.10.2002 within a period of 6 weeks from the filing of the certified copy of the order.

The respondents are directed to decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of 6 weeks from the filing of the certified copy of the order.

Subject to above observations, the writ petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

(Rajesh Tandon. J)

20-02-2006
Negi